June 5, 1992

Docket No. 50-320 Dr. Robert L. Long Director, Corporate Services/ Director, TMI-2 GPU Nuclear Corporation Post Office Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Dear Dr. Long:

SUBJECT: THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT ND. 42 TO FACILITY DPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-73-(TAC NO. M75135)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-73 for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2, in response to your letter dated Dctober 10, 1989 (Technical Specification Change Request No. 66).

The amendment modifies the Appendix B Technical Specifications by deleting the requirement to sample for Strontium-89 (Sr-89) in both liquid and gaseous radioactive wastes.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation supporting Amendment No. 42 is enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice. Sincerely,

> ORIGINAL SIGNED BY Seymour H. Weiss, Director Non-Power Reactors, Decommissioning and Environmental Project Directorate Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

> > GHill (4) Region 1

05/19 /92 [AMEND2]

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

ACRS (10)

OC/LFMB

DPA

OGC*

CBarth

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 42 to DPR-73 Safety Evaluation 2. cc w/enclosures: See next page DISTRIBUTION: Docket File 50-320 LReyes, RI WTravers NRC & Local PDRs BBoger MMasnik PDNP R/F OGC SWeiss DCrutchfield DHagan EHylton *See previous concurrence two PONPAA PONP:PM MTM PONP:Sco PONPALA PONP:D ENVILON MMasnik: 1b RDudley SWeiss 06/ 5/92 06/5/92 06/5/92 06/5/92

DOCUMENT NAME: AMEND2 ORIGINATOR NAME: Michael Masnik

9206110255 920605 PDR ADUCK 05000320 PDR



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON D C 20556

June 5, 1992

Docket No. 50-320

Or. Robert L. Long Director, Corporate Services/ Director, TMI-2 GPU Nuclear Corporation Post Office Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Dear Dr. Long:

SUBJECT: THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION. UNIT NO. 2 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-73-(TAC NO. M75135)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-73 for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2, in response to your letter dated October 10, 1989 (Technical Specification Change Request No. 66).

The amendment modifies the Appendix 8 Technical Specifications by deleting the requirement to sample for Strontium-89 (Sr-89) in both liquid and gaseous radioactive wastes.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation supporting Amendment No. 42 is enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Seguron H. Weiss

Seymour H. Weiss, Director Non-Power Peactors, Decommissioning and Environmental Project Directorate Divisior of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 42 to DPR-73 Safety Evaluation 2.

cc w/enclosures: See next page

Dr. R. L. Long GPU Nuclear Corporation Unit No. 2

CC:

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dr. Judith H. Johnsrud Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power 433 Orlando Avenue State College, PA 16801

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge 2300 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Russell Schaeffer, Chairperson Dauphin County Board of Commissioners Dauphin County Courthouse Front and Market Streets Harrisburg, PA 17120

William Dornsife, Acting Director Bureau of Radiation Protection Department of Environmental Resources P. O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ad Crable Lancaster New Era 8 West King Street Lancaster, PA 17601

Francis I. Young Senior Resident Inspector (TMI-1) U.S.N.R.C. P. O. Box 311 Middletown, PA 17057

Peter B. Bloch, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S.N.R.C. Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Robert Rogan GPU Nuclear Corporation P. O. Box 480 Middletown, PA 17057 Three Mile Island Nuclear Station

David J. McGoff Office of LWR Safety and Technology NE-23 U.S. Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20545

Wythe Keever The Patriot 812 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17105

Robert B. Borsum B & W Nuclear Technologies Suite 525 1700 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD. 20852

Marvin 1. Lewis 7801 Roosevelt Blvd. #62 Philadelphia, PA 19152

Jane Lee 183 Valley Road Etters, PA 17319

Walter W. Cohen, Consumer Advocate Department of Justice Strawberry Square, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17127

U.S. Environmental Prot. Agency Region III Office ATTN: EIS Coordinator 841 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

Frank F. Hooper 4155 Clark Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

Charles N. Kelber Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S.N.R.C. Washington, D.C. 20555



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR FIEGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20665

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 50-320

THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 42 License No. DPR-73

- The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment filed by GPU Nuclear Corporation (the licensee), dated October 19, 1989, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
 - Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-73 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B. as revised through Amendment No. 42, are hereby incorporated in the license. GPU Nuclear Corporation shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and all Commission Orders issued subsequent to March 28, 1979.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Seymour H. Weiss

Seymour H. Weiss, Director Non-Power Reactors, Decommission and Environmental Project Directorate Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications Appendix B

Date of Issuance: June 5, 1992

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 42

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-73

DOCKET NO. 50-320

Replace the following pages of the Appendix B Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

۰.

Remove	Insert
2-4	2-4
2-11	2-1 I

TABLE 2.3-1

Radioactive Liquid Waste Sampling and Analysis (4, 5)

A. Monitor Tank Releases

Sampling Frequency	Type of Activity Analysis	Dectable Concentration (3)
Each Batch	Individual Gamma	5 x 10-7 µµCi/m1 (2)
	H-3	10- ⁵ µCi/ml
Monthly Composite (1)	Gross Alpha	10-7 µÇi/ml
	5-90	5 x 10-8 µCi/ml

Notes

- A composite sample is one in which the quantity of liquid sampled is proportional to the quantity of liquid waste discharged from the plant.
- (2) For certain mixtures of gamma emitters, it may not be possible to measure radionuclides in concentrations near this sensitivity limit when other nuclides are present in the sample in much greater concentrations. Under these circumstances, it will be more appropriate to calculate the concentrations of such radionuclides using measured ratios with those radionuclides which are routinely identified and measured.
- (3) The detectability limits for radioactivity analysis are based on the technical feasibility and on the potential significance in the environment of the quantities released. For some nuclides, lower detection limits may be readily achievable and when nuclides are measured below the stated limits, they should also be reported.
- (4) The results of these analyses should be used as the basis for recording and reporting the quantities of radioactive material released in liquid effluents during the sampling period. In estimating releases for a period when analyses were not performed, the average of the two adjacent data points spanning this period should be used. Such estimates should be included in the effluent records and reports; however, they should be clearly identified as estimates, and the method used to obtain these data should be described.
- (5) Deviations from sampling/analysis regime will be noted in the report specified in Section 5.6.1.

TABLE 2. 3-2

Radioactive Gaseous Waste Sampling and Analysis (5)

Sample Type	Sampling Frequency	Type of Activity Analysis	Detectable Concentration (1)
Waste Gas Deca	ay Tank Release		
Gas	Each Tank	н- 3	10-8 µCi/cc
	Release	Individual Gamma Emitters	10-4 µC1/cc (2)
Reactor Build	ing Purge Releases		
Gas	Each Purge	н- 3	10-* µC1/cc
		Individual Gamma Emitters	10-4 µCi/cc (2)
Condenser Vac	uum Pump Releases		
Gas	Monthly	H- 3	10-6 µCi/cc
	Monthly (3)	Individual Gamma Emitters	10-4 µCi/cc (2)
Unit Exhaust			
Vent Release	Points		
Gas	Monthly (4)	н- 3	10-6 µCi/cc
		Individual Gamma Emitters	10-4 µCi/cc (2)
Charcoal	Weekly (6)	J-131, J-133, J-135	10-12 µCi/cc
Particulates	Weekly	Individual Gamma Emitters	10-10 µCi/cc (2)
	Monthly Composit	te Sr-90	10-11 µCi/cc
	Monthly Composit	te Gross Alpha Emitters	10-13 µCi/cc

⁽¹⁾ The above detectability limits are based on technical feasibility and on the potential significance in the environment of the quantities released. For some nuclides, lower detection limits may be readily achievable and when nuclides are measured below the stated limits, they should also be reported.

٠.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20656

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

NO. DRP-73

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO. 50-320

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 10, 1989, GPU Nuclear Corporation (GPUN or the licensee) requested the approval of a change to the Appendix B Technical Specifications of Facility Operating License No. OPR-73 for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2. The proposed amendment would revise the Technical Specifications by deleting the requirement to determine concentrations of Strontium-89 (Sr-89) in facility radioactive liquid and gaseous waste sampling analyses. Table 2.3-1 of the Appendix B Technical Specifications specifies radioactive liquid waste sampling and analyses at TMI-2. Similarly, Table 2.3-2 of the Appendix B Technical Specifications specifies radioactive gaseous waste sampling and analyses at TMI-2. Both tables require activity analyses for Sr-89 for monthly composite samples. The licensee proposes deleting the requirement for Sr-89 analyses from both tables.

Sr-89 is produced as a result of nuclear fission during reactor operation and has a 50.52 day half-life. The production of Sr-89 ceased on the day of the accident, March 28, 979. The amount of Sr-89 present in TMI-2 on the day of the accident has decayed to undetectable levels over approximately 95 half-lives to the present time.

Since no new Sr-89 is being produced, and the Sr-89 present at the time of the TMI-2 accident has decayed to undetectable levels, the staff finds the proposed change acceptable.

2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves changes to a requirement with respect to a facility component or which changes an inspection or surveillance requirement. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards

9206110260 920605 PDR ADOCK 05000320 P PDR consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (57 FR 18174, April 29, 1992). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the State of Pennsylvania was contacted about the issuance of the amendment. No public comments were received, and the State of Pennsylvania did not have any comments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and (3) the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Michael T. Masnik

Date: June 5, 1992